## SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 2007 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S156332 B192371 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 HALL (BLANCHE) v. TIME WARNER, INC.

Time extended to grant or deny review.

On application of Appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to the petition for review is extended to October 5, 2007.

## S074804

PEOPLE v. HARTSCH (CISCO)

Extension of time granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender William Hassler's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 28, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 26, 2007. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

## S080054

PEOPLE v. LINTON (DANIEL ANDREW)

Extension of time granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Diane E. Berley's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by early 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 19, 2007. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

## S142741

CLARK (ROYAL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jean R. Sternberg's representation that she anticipates filing the informal reply to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 16, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 16, 2007. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S151615 B191879 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

AMALGAMATED TRANSIT UNION, LOCAL 1756, AFL-CIO v. S.C. (FIRST TRANSIT, INC.)

Extension of time granted.

On application of real party in interest First Transit, Inc. and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 19, 2007.

S151615 B191879 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

AMALGAMATED TRANSIT UNION, LOCAL 1756, AFL-CIO v. S.C. (FIRST TRANSIT, INC.)

On application of real party in interest ATC/Vancom, Inc., and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 19, 2007.

S151705 C052554 Third Appellate District

STATE BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS v. S.C. (ARBUCKLE)

Extension of time granted.

On application of petitioners and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 26, 2007.

S155765 B199206 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 SMITH (DANIEL H.) ON H.C. Extension of time granted.

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to the petition for review is extended to October 5, 2007. No further extensions of time will be granted.

S073823

PEOPLE v. BUENROSTRO (DORA)

Order filed.

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on September 11, 2007, is amended to read as follows: Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nina Rivkind's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 26, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 13, 2007. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 255 additional days will be granted.

S154684 B200180 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PRADO (MARIO) ON H.C. Order filed.

The order filed on August 29, 2007, denying the petition for review is amended to reflect the name above.

S155262 G037768 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CHOKR (NIZMEDDINE HASSAN)

Order filed.

The order filed on September 12, 2007, denying the petition for review is amended to reflect the district and division above.

S156223

SMITH (ANTHONY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Order filed.

The order filed on September 12, 2007, transferring the matter to the Court of Appeal is hereby amended to read in its entirety: "The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied."